arXiv:1602.05266v1 [math.DG] 17 Feb 2016

A Note on Special Polynomials and Minimal Surfaces

Peter Connor

February 18, 2016

Abstract. When using Traizet's regeneration technique to construct minimal surfaces, the simplest nontrivial configurations are given as the roots of polynomials that satisfy a hypergeometric differential equation. We exhibit examples of simple minimal surfaces exhibiting the same behavior.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53A10; Secondary 49Q05, 53C42.

Key words and phrases. Minimal surface, balance equations.

1 Introduction

Traizet's regeneration technique has been used to construct many new examples of embedded minimal surfaces in Euclidean space. This technique uses the Weierstrass Representation for minimal surfaces and solves the Period Problem using the implicit function theorem in the neighborhood of a singular minimal surface. This limit can be realized conformally as a noded Riemann surface, with the location of the nodes solving a set of balance equations. The typical situation involves gluing tiny catenoidal necks between horizontal planes or flat annuli, with the location of the necks satisfying a set of balance equations. In each of these cases, the simplest configurations are given as the roots of polynomials that satisfy a hypergeometric differential equation. There still isn't an explanation for this phenomenon.

In 2002, Traizet [3] constructed one-parameter families of singly periodic minimal surfaces of arbitrary genus in the quotient that limit as a foliation of $\mathbb{R}^3$ by parallel planes with tiny catenoidal necks between each level. One such example is Rieman's minimal surface. The simplest cases place the catenoidal necks at the roots of Chebyshev polynomials.

In 2005, Traizet and Weber [4] constructed one-parameter families of screw motion invariant minimal surfaces of arbitrary genus that are asymptotic to the helicoid. In this case, helicoids are glued together instead of planes and catenoids. The simplest cases place the axes of the helicoids at the roots of Hermite polynomials.

In 2012, Connor and Weber [1] constructed three-parameter families of embedded, doubly periodic minimal surfaces of arbitrary genus in the quotient that limit as a foliation

of $\mathbb{R}^3$ by parallel planes with tiny catenoidal necks between each level. The simplest cases here place the catenoidal necks at the roots of Legendre polynomials. See figure 1.



Figure 1: Two views of a Connor-Weber surface

In 2012, Li [2] constructed one-parameter families of embedded, singly periodic surfaces with 6 Scherk ends of arbitrary genus that limit as three parallel planes connected by tiny catenoidal necks. In this setting, the catenoidal necks are also located at the roots of polynomial solutions to a hypergeometric differential equation.

In this paper, we prove the existence of complete minimal surfaces with two horizontal annular ends and any number of catenoidal ends with vertical limiting normals such that the location of the catenoidal ends satisfy a similar set of balance equations as arise when one constructs surfaces using Traizet's regeneration technique. This is done by considering the possible Weierstrass data for minimal surfaces with these properties, formulating the equations for the period problem, and then solving the period problem.

2 Weierstrass Representation

We use the Weierstrass Representation of minimal surfaces, which may be written as

$$X(z) = \operatorname{Re} \int_{z_0}^{z} \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{G} - G\right) dh, \frac{i}{2}\left(\frac{1}{G} + G\right) dh, dh \right)$$

where $z, z_0 \in \Sigma$ with Σ a Riemann surface, G is a meromorphic function called the Gauss map, and dh is a meromorphic one-form called the height differential. One issue is that X depends on the path of integration.

We want to construct a complete, singly periodic minimal surface with period $(0, \pi, 0)$, two horizontal annular ends, and a sequence of catenoidal ends with vertical limiting normals. Place the annular ends at 0 and ∞, the catenoidal ends at $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$, and assume that the vertical limiting normal vector points up at the catenoidal ends and down at the annular ends. Then the domain of the map X is $\Sigma = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n\}$. Here are the conditions such that (Σ, G, dh) provide the Weierstrass data for such a surface:

1. The zeros of dh are the zeros and poles of G, with the same multiplicity. This ensures the map X is regular.

2. G and dh have zeros of order $k \geq 1$ and $k-1$ at 0, respectively, and G and dh have zeros of order $j \geq 1$ and $j-1$, respectively, at ∞. This ensures the ends at 0 and ∞ are horizontal annular ends with downward pointing vertical limiting normal vectors.

3. G and dh have simple poles at p_j with residue $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. This ensures that the ends at each p_j are catenoidal ends with upward pointing vertical limiting normal vectors. The residue α_j gives the neck size of the corresponding catenoidal end.

4. $$\operatorname{Re} \int_{C(p_j)} \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{G} - G\right) dh, \frac{i}{2}\left(\frac{1}{G} + G\right) dh, dh \right) = (0,0,0)$$
   where $C(p_j)$ is a simple closed curve around the puncture p_j, for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

5. $$\operatorname{Re} \int_{C(0)} \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{G} - G\right) dh, \frac{i}{2}\left(\frac{1}{G} + G\right) dh, dh \right) = (0,0,0) \bmod (0, \pi, 0)$$
   and
   $$\operatorname{Re} \int_{C(\infty)} \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{G} - G\right) dh, \frac{i}{2}\left(\frac{1}{G} + G\right) dh, dh \right) = (0,0,0) \bmod (0, \pi, 0)$$
   where $C(0)$ and $C(\infty)$ are simple closed curves around 0 and ∞.

Together, 4 and 5 are referred to as the Period Problem.

Let
$$G(z) = z \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_j}{z - p_j}$$
and
$$dh = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_j}{z - p_j} dz$$
with
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j = 0$$

Then all of the conditions on the Weierstrass data are automatically satisfied, except for the periods at each puncture p_j. As we are computing integrals over domains in the complex plane, the period integrals can be calculated as residues. The selection of the Weierstrass data reduces the period problem to

$$\operatorname*{res}_{p_k}(Gdh) = 0$$

for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, and

$$\operatorname*{res}_{p_k}(Gdh) = \operatorname*{res}_{p_k}\left(z\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\alpha_j}{z-p_j}\right)^2\right) = p_k\alpha_k\left(2\left(\sum_{j\neq k}\frac{\alpha_j}{p_k-p_j}\right)+\frac{\alpha_k}{p_k}\right)$$

Let

$$F_k = 2\sum_{j\neq k}\frac{\alpha_j}{p_k-p_j}+\frac{\alpha_k}{p_k}$$

If $F_k = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots n$ then the period problem is solved. The equations $F_k = 0$ are referred to as the balance equations. The Residue Theorem implies that one of the balance equations doesn't need to be solved. The balance equations are equivalent to the balance equations in [1] that produce doubly periodic examples with two levels in the quotient. This isn't surprising because the Gauss map and height differential are defined similarly in both cases.

3 Solving the balance equations

Let's consider examples with one catenoid end opening downward and n catenoid ends with the same necksize opening upward. Then the balance equations are solved when the locations of the catenoidal ends are given by the roots of the polynomial

$$f_n(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j}^2 z^j$$

which is related to the Legendre polynomial $L_n(z)$ by the transformation

$$f_n(z) = (1-z)^n L_n\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$$

Theorem 3.1 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_j = 1/n$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, $\alpha_{n+1} = -1$, $p_{n+1} = 1$, and $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$ be the roots of the polynomial $f_n(z)$. Then $F_k = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1$, and $X(\Sigma)$ is a complete, immersed, singly periodic minimal surface with horizontal annular ends at 0 and ∞, upward pointing catenoidal ends with vertical limiting normals at $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$, and a horizontal downward pointing catenoidal end with vertical limiting normal at $p_{n+1} = 1$.



Figure 2: Two views of a singly periodic minimal surface with three catenoidal ends in the quotient

Proof: In this case, the balance equations are

$$F_k = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1, j\neq k}^{n} \frac{1}{p_k - p_j} - \frac{2}{p_k - 1} + \frac{1}{np_k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$$

and

$$F_{n+1} = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_k - p_j} - 1$$

Recall that it is sufficient to solve $F_k = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

The polynomial f_n satisfies the hypergeometric differential equation

$$z(1-z)f_n''(z) + (1 + (2n-1)z)f_n'(z) - n^2 f_n(z) = 0$$

and thus has simple zeros. Since f_n has simple zeros, for each root p_k,

$$f_n''(p_k) = 2f_n'(p_k) \sum_{j=1, j\neq k}^{n} \frac{1}{p_k - p_j}$$

Plugging into the above differential equation, we get that

$$2p_k(1-p_k) \sum_{j=1, j\neq k}^{n} \frac{1}{p_k - p_j} + 1 + (2n-1)p_k = 0$$

which implies that F_k=0. □

By adjusting the necksizes of the catenoids, one can construct less symmetric examples, even when there are only three catenoidal ends in the quotient. The example of this type in figure 3 has Weierstrass data

$$G(z) = z \left(\frac{1}{4\left(z + \frac{11+3\sqrt{13}}{2}\right)} + \frac{3}{4\left(z - \frac{-7+\sqrt{13}}{6}\right)} - \frac{1}{z-1} \right)$$

and

$$dh = \left(\frac{1}{4\left(z + \frac{11+3\sqrt{13}}{2}\right)} + \frac{3}{4\left(z - \frac{-7+\sqrt{13}}{6}\right)} - \frac{1}{z-1} \right) dz$$



Figure 3: Two views of a less symmetric example with three catenoidal ends in the quotient

References

[1] P. Connor and M. Weber. The construction of doubly periodic minimal surfaces via balance equations. *Amer. J. Math.*, 134:1275–1301, 2012.

[2] K. Li. *Singly-Periodic Minimal Surfaces with Scherk Ends Near Parallel Planes*. PhD thesis, Indiana University, Bloomington, 2012.

[3] M. Traizet. Adding handles to riemann minimal examples. *Journal Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 1(1):145–174, 2002. MR1924593.

[4] M. Traizet and M. Weber. Hermite polynomials and helicoidal minimal surfaces. *Inv. Math.*, 161:113–149, 2005.